Office Action mailed 2/9/2005

REMARKS

Applicants hereby add new claims 76-83, and accordingly, claims 32-43 and 53-83

are pending in the present application.

Claims 71-75 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) for obviousness over Seiwa in

view of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,701 to Ota et al.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections of the Office Action and request

allowance of the pending claims.

Referring to the obviousness-type double patenting rejections, Applicant submits a

terminal disclaimer herewith with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,709,878. Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the double patenting rejections in view of the submitted

terminal disclaimer.

Referring to the obviousness rejection over U.S. Patent No. 6,635,852 to Seiwa,

Applicant respectfully submits that the Office has failed to present sufficient evidence that

Seiwa qualifies as prior art. More specifically, the present application claims priority to

February 27, 1998. The Seiwa reference was filed on June 12, 1998 after the priority date

of Applicant's subject application.

In addition, Applicants submit herewith an abstract of the corresponding Japanese

Seiwa application from which U.S. Patent No. 6,635,852 claims priority. The Abstract of

the Japanese publication indicates an application number of JP19970155093 19970612

and publication number 11-003868 published in 1999. Applicant also has discovered a

corresponding Canadian family member of the Seiwa reference having application number

11

Appl. No. 10/773,383 Amdt. dated 6/9/2005

Office Action mailed 2/9/2005

CN19980102423 19980612, and publication number CN1202725 A published on

December 23, 1998. The publication dates of both references are after the priority date

of the subject application and therefore, the Office has failed to present adequate evidence

that Seiwa qualifies as prior art. Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims

71-75 over Seiwa is improper for at least the above-mentioned reasons. Applicants

respectfully request allowance of claims 71-75 or the submission of evidence on the record

by the Office that Seiwa qualifies as prior art.

Applicants hereby add new claims 76-83 which are supported at least by Fig. 1 and

the associated specification teachings of the originally-filed application.

Applicants submit a supplemental IDS herewith. In addition, Applicants submit

copies of previously filed IDSs and accompanying forms PTO-1449 which have not been

initialed by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully request consideration of the references

cited on the forms and return of the initialed forms to Applicants.

Applicants request allowance of all pending claims.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such

would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for

telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

12

Appl. No. 10/773,383 Amdt. dated 6/9/2005 Office Action mailed 2/9/2005

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6 9 0 5

Rv.

James D. Shaurette Reg. No. 39,833